

WORLD TRADE ORGANIZATION

RESTRICTED

G/ADP/W/377/Suppl.1

G/SCM/W/387/Suppl.1

24 April 1996

(96-1546)

Committee on Anti-Dumping Practices
Committee on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures

Original: English

QUESTIONS POSED BY
THE UNITED STATES TO SLOVENIA
CONCERNING THE LATTER'S NOTIFICATION¹ OF LAWS AND
REGULATIONS UNDER ARTICLES 18.5 AND 32.6 OF THE AGREEMENTS

Supplement

The following communication, dated 23 April 1996, has been received from the Permanent Mission of the United States.

-
1. Could you please explain how the comparison methodologies in Articles 10.2 and 10.3 [page 7] are consistent with the comparison methodologies contained in A-D Article 2.4.2?
 2. There does not appear to be a requirement under Slovenian law that a subsidy be specific in order to be countervailable. Is this correct?
 3. Article 21 [page 10-11] does not appear to require that the thresholds for industry support contained in A-D Article 5.4. Under Slovenian law can an application be rejected that does not meet these thresholds?
 4. What provision has been made for conducting "new shipper reviews" as required by A-D Article 9.5?
 5. What provision has been made for judicial review of administrative decisions as required by A-D Article 13?

¹G/ADP/N/1/SVN/1-G/SCM/N/1/SVN/1.